



Critical Essay MARKING GUIDE

FEBRUARY 2024

INSTRUCTIONS:

- Please use pencil ONLY.
- Do not fold or bend.
- Erase mistakes fully.
- Completely fill in the oval.



Please MARK 0
LIKE THIS ONLY: 1
 2

In essay form, critically discuss this statement from different points of view and provide your conclusion.

"...diagnosis is a useful form of communication, it is not a fact."

Reference: Tyrer P (2022) Remembered jewels: The role of diagnosis in psychiatry by Robert Kendell. BJPsych Advances 28: 9-10. DOI: 10.1192/bja.2021.50.

(40 marks)

Fellowship Competency 1. Communicator - Weighting 10%

<i>The candidate demonstrates the ability to communicate clearly. Spelling, grammar and vocabulary are adequate to the task, and able to convey ideas clearly.</i>	Proficiency Level
The spelling, grammar or vocabulary significantly impedes communication.	0
The spelling, grammar and vocabulary are acceptable, but the candidate demonstrates below average capacity for clear written expression.	1 2
The spelling, grammar and vocabulary are acceptable, and the candidate demonstrates good capacity for written expression.	3 4
The candidate displays a highly sophisticated level of written expression.	5

Fellowship Competency 3. Medical Expert, Communicator, Scholar - Weighting 20%

<i>The candidate is able to identify and develop a number of lines of argument that are relevant to the proposition. The candidate makes reference to the research literature where this usefully informs their arguments. Includes the ability to consider counter arguments and/or argue against the proposition.</i>	Proficiency Level
There is no evidence of logical argument or critical reasoning: points are random or unconnected or simply listed.	0
There is only a weak attempt at supporting the assertions made by correct and relevant knowledge OR there is only one argument OR the arguments are not well linked.	1 2
The points in this essay follow logically to demonstrate the argument and are adequately developed.	3 4
The candidate demonstrates a sophisticated level of reasoning and logical argument, and most or all the arguments are relevant.	5

Fellowship Competency 5. Medical Expert, Health Advocate, Professional - Weighting 20%

<i>The candidate demonstrates a mature understanding of broader models of health and illness, cultural sensitivity and the cultural context of psychiatry historically and in the present time, and the role of the psychiatrist as advocate and can use this understanding to critically discuss the essay question.</i>	Proficiency Level
As relevant to the question or statement: the candidate limits themselves inappropriately rigidly to the medical model OR does not demonstrate cultural awareness or sensitivity where this was clearly required OR fails to demonstrate an appropriate awareness of a relevant cultural/historical context OR fails to consider a role for psychiatrist as advocate.	0
The candidate touches on the expected areas, but their ideas lack depth or breadth or are inaccurate or irrelevant to the question/statement.	1 2
The candidate demonstrates an acceptable level of cultural sensitivity and/or historical context and/or broader models of health and illness and/or the role of psychiatrist as advocate relevant to the question/statement.	3 4
The candidate demonstrates a superior level of awareness and knowledge in these areas relevant to the statement/question.	5

Fellowship Competency 6. Professional - Weighting 15%

<i>The candidate demonstrates appropriate ethical awareness.</i>	Proficiency Level
The candidate fails to address ethical issues where this was clearly required, or produces material that is unethical in content.	0
The candidate raises ethical issues that are not relevant or are simply listed without elaboration or are described incorrectly or so unclearly as to cloud the meaning.	1 2
The candidate demonstrates an appropriate awareness of relevant ethical issues.	3 4
The candidate demonstrates a superior level of knowledge or awareness of relevant ethical issues.	5



Critical Essay MARKING GUIDE

FEBRUARY 2024

Fellowship Competency 9. Medical Expert, Communicator, Scholar - Weighting 10%

<i>The candidate is able to draw a conclusion that is justified by the arguments they have raised.</i>	Proficiency Level
There is no conclusion.	①
Any conclusion is poorly justified or not supported by the arguments that have been raised.	②
The candidate is able to draw a conclusion(s) that is justified by the arguments they have raised.	③
The candidate demonstrates an above average level of sophistication in the conclusion(s) drawn, and they are well supported by the arguments raised.	④
	⑤

Fellowship Competency 10. Medical Expert, Collaborator and Manager - Weighting 25%

<i>The candidate demonstrates an understanding of patient-centred care and the role of carers, and is able to apply the arguments and conclusions to the clinical context, and/or apply clinical experience in their arguments.</i>	Proficiency Level
The candidate fails to consider (or, merely mentions) patient-centred care or the role of carers. Arguments and conclusions appear uninformed by clinical experience (no clinical link), or are contrary or inappropriate to the clinical context.	①
The candidate mentions these concepts but does not demonstrate an accurate understanding of these or is unable to do so clearly. There is an attempt to link to the clinical context, but it is tenuous, or the links made are unrealistic.	②
The candidate is able to apply the arguments and conclusions to the clinical context, and/or apply clinical experience in their arguments, demonstrating an understanding of patient-centred care and the role of carers.	③
The candidate makes links to the clinical context that appear very well-informed and show an above average level of insight, demonstrating a superior depth or breadth of understanding of patient-centred care and the role of carers.	④
	⑤

CANDIDATE DID NOT ATTEMPT	<input type="radio"/>
DID HANDWRITING AFFECT MARKING?	<input type="radio"/>

Marker initials: